

## **REMARKS**

### **Declaration**

In the first paragraph of the Office Action, Examiner states that the oath or declaration is defective, as it is not signed by applicant Jacob Weil. Applicants note that an appropriate declaration has been executed by both inventors to this Application. A copy of such declaration is attached as Exhibit D for Examiner's review. Applicants respectfully submit that such Declaration obviates the basis for this objection or rejection and such objection or rejection should now be removed.

### **Specification**

Applicants are enclosing under Exhibit A a substitute specification per MPEP §608.01(q) and 37 CFR §1.125. A markup version of the substitute specification is provided under Exhibit B. Applicants respectfully submit that the substitute specification merely corrects immaterial errors, e.g., improper paragraph numbering, grammatical and spelling errors etc., and improves overall readability. Applicants also submit that no new matter has been added into this substitute specification.

### **Claims**

Claims 1-11 were presented for examination and were pending in this application. In an Office action dated July 15, 2004, claims 3, 4, 6, 8 and 10 were objected to, and claims 1, 2, 5, 7 and 9 were rejected. Applicants thank Examiner for examination of the claims pending in this application and address Examiner's comments below.

Applicants have cancelled Claims 1-10. Applicants have added new claims 12-21 that correspond to rejected or objected to claims as follows:

original Claims 2-3 correspond to Claim 12;  
original Claim 4 correspond to Claim 13;  
original Claim 5 correspond to Claim 14;  
original Claim 6 correspond to Claim 15;  
original Claims 2, 5 and 6 correspond to Claim 16;  
original Claim 4 correspond to Claim 17;  
original Claims 7 and 8 correspond to Claim 18;  
original Claim 9 correspond to Claim 19;  
original Claim 10 correspond to Claim 20; and  
original Claims 7, 9 and 10 correspond to Claim 21.

Applicants respectfully submit that these claims are commensurate with the subject matter found to be allowable once the objected-to claims were re-written in independent form.

### **Conclusion**

Applicants have added new Claims 12-21, for which Applicants request consideration and examination. Applicants respectfully submit that these claims are supported by the specification and are commensurate with the subject matter previously found to be allowable. In sum, Applicants respectfully request that these claims be allowed in addition to previously allowed Claim 11.

Applicants respectfully invite Examiner to contact Applicants' representative at the number provided below if Examiner believes it will help expedite furtherance of this application.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Steven J. Schaffer and Jacob Weil

Date: 12 Jan 2005

By: David Varn

David Varn, Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 53,718  
FENWICK & WEST LLP  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Phone: (650) 335-7183  
Fax: (650) 938-5200  
E-Mail: [dvarn@fenwick.com](mailto:dvarn@fenwick.com)

**IN THE DRAWINGS:**

Figure 1 has been revised to correct a typographical error; no new matter has been added.

The revised version is included as Exhibit C.